Cross-points in the Neumann-Neumann method

Bastien Chaudet-Dumas (University of Geneva) Martin J. Gander (University of Geneva)

> CANUM, Evian-Les-Bains June, 14th 2022



1 Problem formulation and domain decomposition

2 The Neumann-Neumann method

3 A modified Neumann-Neumann method

4 Numerical experiments

5 Conclusion

Poisson problem on a square

We consider the simplest form of elliptic problem on the square $\Omega := (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$, with Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\partial \Omega$.

$$u = g$$

$$u = g$$

$$u = g$$

$$u = g$$

Given a source term $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, and $g \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$, find *u* solution to :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = g \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(P)

Domain decomposition

Principle : The domain is decomposed into a collection of smaller subdomains $\{\Omega_i\}_i$, which enables us to solve the PDE separately in each subdomain.



Figure – Examples of non-overlapping domain decompositions for Ω .

 \rightarrow This leads to a collection of coupled problems on smaller subdomains.

 \longrightarrow We use an iterative process based on *transmission conditions* to decouple these subproblems.

 \rightarrow Once decoupled, subproblems can be solved in parallel (*divide and conquer*).

Remark. Marked points are referred to as "cross-points".

Domain decomposition

Principle : The domain is decomposed into a collection of smaller subdomains $\{\Omega_i\}_i$, which enables us to solve the PDE separately in each subdomain.



Figure – Examples of non-overlapping domain decompositions for Ω .

 \longrightarrow This leads to a collection of coupled problems on smaller subdomains.

 \longrightarrow We use an iterative process based on $\mathit{transmission}$ conditions to decouple these subproblems.

 \rightarrow Once decoupled, subproblems can be solved in parallel (*divide and conquer*).

Remark. Marked points are referred to as "cross-points".

Domain decomposition

Principle : The domain is decomposed into a collection of smaller subdomains $\{\Omega_i\}_i$, which enables us to solve the PDE separately in each subdomain.



Figure – Examples of non-overlapping domain decompositions for Ω .

 \longrightarrow This leads to a collection of coupled problems on smaller subdomains.

 \longrightarrow We use an iterative process based on $\it transmission\ conditions$ to decouple these subproblems.

 \rightarrow Once decoupled, subproblems can be solved in parallel (*divide and conquer*).

Remark. Marked points are referred to as "cross-points".

Two subdomain version : The domain Ω is split into two subdomains by means of a vertical interface Σ placed at $x = \alpha$. Given an initial guess $\lambda^0 \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ and a relaxation parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, each iteration $k \ge 1$ of the NNM can be decomposed in three steps :



• (Dirichlet step) Solve in each subdomain Ω_i,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_i^k = f \text{ in } \Omega_i ,\\ u_i^k = g \text{ on } \partial \Omega_i \cap \partial \Omega ,\\ u_i^k = \lambda^{k-1} \text{ on } \Sigma . \end{cases}$$

Two subdomain version : The domain Ω is split into two subdomains by means of a vertical interface Σ placed at $x = \alpha$. Given an initial guess $\lambda^0 \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ and a relaxation parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, each iteration $k \ge 1$ of the NNM can be decomposed in three steps :



• (Neumann step) Compute the correction ψ in each subdomain Ω_i ,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \psi_i^k = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_i \ , \\ \psi_i^k = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega_i \cap \partial \Omega \ , \\ \partial_{n_i} \psi_i^k = \partial_{n_i} u_i^k + \partial_{n_j} u_j^k \text{ on } \Sigma \end{cases}$$

Two subdomain version : The domain Ω is split into two subdomains by means of a vertical interface Σ placed at $x = \alpha$. Given an initial guess $\lambda^0 \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ and a relaxation parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, each iteration $k \ge 1$ of the NNM can be decomposed in three steps :

• (Update λ) Update the trace on Σ using the relaxation parameter,

$$\lambda^{k} = \lambda^{k-1} - \theta(\psi_1^{k} + \psi_2^{k}).$$

Four subdomain version : The domain Ω is split into four squared subdomains of equal area, thus involving one cross-point.



- (Dirichlet step) Solve a Dirichlet problem for u_i in each subdomains Ω_i .
- (Neumann step) Solve a Neumann problem for ψ_i in each subdomain Ω_i .
- (Update λ) Update the trace on each part of Σ .

Four subdomain version : The domain Ω is split into four squared subdomains of equal area, thus involving one cross-point.



• (Dirichlet step) Solve a Dirichlet problem for u_i in each subdomains Ω_i .

• (Neumann step) Solve a Neumann problem for ψ_i in each subdomain Ω_i .

• (Update λ) Update the trace on each part of Σ .

Four subdomain version : The domain Ω is split into four squared subdomains of equal area, thus involving one cross-point.



• (Dirichlet step) Solve a Dirichlet problem for u_i in each subdomains Ω_i .

- (Neumann step) Solve a Neumann problem for ψ_i in each subdomain Ω_i .
- (Update λ) Update the trace on each part of Σ .

Four subdomain version : The domain Ω is split into four squared subdomains of equal area, thus involving one cross-point.



• (Dirichlet step) Solve a Dirichlet problem for u_i in each subdomains Ω_i .

- (Neumann step) Solve a Neumann problem for ψ_i in each subdomain Ω_i .
- (Update λ) Update the trace on each part of Σ .



Even/odd splitting

Definition 1. Any function $h \in L^{p}(\Omega)$, with $p \in [1, \infty]$, can be uniquely decomposed as $h = h_{e} + h_{o}$, where h_{e} and h_{o} are called the even symmetric and odd symmetric parts of h, respectively, and satisfy for almost all $(x, y) \in \Omega$

$$h_e(-x, -y) = h_e(x, y),$$

 $h_o(-x, -y) = -h_o(x, y).$



Even/odd splitting

Definition 1. Any function $h \in L^{p}(\Omega)$, with $p \in [1, \infty]$, can be uniquely decomposed as $h = h_{e} + h_{o}$, where h_{e} and h_{o} are called the even symmetric and odd symmetric parts of h, respectively, and satisfy for almost all $(x, y) \in \Omega$

$$h_e(-x, -y) = h_e(x, y),$$

 $h_o(-x, -y) = -h_o(x, y).$

Example : $h(x, y) = (2y^3 - y^2)e^{-x^2}$.



Figure – Graph of h on Ω .



Even/odd splitting

Definition 1. Any function $h \in L^{p}(\Omega)$, with $p \in [1, \infty]$, can be uniquely decomposed as $h = h_{e} + h_{o}$, where h_{e} and h_{o} are called the even symmetric and odd symmetric parts of h, respectively, and satisfy for almost all $(x, y) \in \Omega$

$$h_e(-x, -y) = h_e(x, y),$$

 $h_o(-x, -y) = -h_o(x, y).$

Example : $h(x, y) = (2y^3 - y^2)e^{-x^2}$.



Figure – Graphs of h_e (left) and h_o (right) on Ω .



Idea : Split the data f, g into even/odd symmetric parts, which leads to two corresponding subproblems (P_e) and (P_o). Apply the NNM to each one of these subproblems separately.

Convergence analysis for (P_e) .

Find u_e solution to :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_e = f_e \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u_e = g_e \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(P_e)

 \longrightarrow At each iteration of the NNM, all local problems are well-posed.

 \longrightarrow Local corrections in subdomains Ω_2 , Ω_3 and Ω_4 can be deduced from the local correction in Ω_1 using symmetry arguments.

 \rightarrow The algorithm converges *geometrically* to the real solution u_e .



Idea : Split the data f, g into even/odd symmetric parts, which leads to two corresponding subproblems (P_e) and (P_o). Apply the NNM to each one of these subproblems separately.

Convergence analysis for (P_e) .

Numerical illustration with $f_e = 1$, $g_e = 0$ and $\lambda_e^0 = 0$, for $\theta = 0.15$.



Figure – Solution u_e^1 at iteration 1, $\theta = 0.15$.



Idea : Split the data f, g into even/odd symmetric parts, which leads to two corresponding subproblems (P_e) and (P_o). Apply the NNM to each one of these subproblems separately.

Convergence analysis for (P_e) .

Numerical illustration with $f_e = 1$, $g_e = 0$ and $\lambda_e^0 = 0$, for $\theta = 0.15$.



Figure – Correction ψ_e^1 at iteration 1, $\theta = 0.15$.



Idea : Split the data f, g into even/odd symmetric parts, which leads to two corresponding subproblems (P_e) and (P_o). Apply the NNM to each one of these subproblems separately.

Convergence analysis for (P_e) .

Numerical illustration with $f_e = 1$, $g_e = 0$ and $\lambda_e^0 = 0$, for $\theta = 0.15$.



Figure – Solution u_e^2 at iteration 2, $\theta = 0.15$.



Idea : Split the data f, g into even/odd symmetric parts, which leads to two corresponding subproblems (P_e) and (P_o). Apply the NNM to each one of these subproblems separately.

Convergence analysis for (P_e) .

Numerical illustration with $f_e = 1$, $g_e = 0$ and $\lambda_e^0 = 0$, for $\theta = 0.15$.



Figure – Correction ψ_e^2 at iteration 2, $\theta = 0.15$.



Idea : Split the data f, g into even/odd symmetric parts, which leads to two corresponding subproblems (P_e) and (P_o). Apply the NNM to each one of these subproblems separately.

Convergence analysis for (P_o) .

Find u_o solution to :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_o = f_o \text{ in } \Omega , \\ u_o = g_o \text{ on } \partial \Omega . \end{cases}$$
 (P_o)

 \longrightarrow At some point, the Dirichlet conditions enforced in Ω_1 and Ω_3 become discontinuous.

 \longrightarrow Well-posedness is no longer guaranteed. Singular solutions are generated and they propagate through the following iterations, which prevents the NNM from converging.



Idea : Split the data f, g into even/odd symmetric parts, which leads to two corresponding subproblems (P_e) and (P_o). Apply the NNM to each one of these subproblems separately.

Convergence analysis for (P_o) .

Numerical illustration with $f_o = x - y$, $g_o = 0$ and $\lambda_o^0 = 0$, for $\theta = 0.45$.



Figure – Solution u_o^1 at iteration 1, $\theta = 0.15$.



Idea : Split the data f, g into even/odd symmetric parts, which leads to two corresponding subproblems (P_e) and (P_o). Apply the NNM to each one of these subproblems separately.

Convergence analysis for (P_o) .

Numerical illustration with $f_o = x - y$, $g_o = 0$ and $\lambda_o^0 = 0$, for $\theta = 0.15$.



Figure – Correction ψ_o^1 at iteration 1, $\theta = 0.15$.



Idea : Split the data f, g into even/odd symmetric parts, which leads to two corresponding subproblems (P_e) and (P_o). Apply the NNM to each one of these subproblems separately.

Convergence analysis for (P_o) .

Numerical illustration with $f_o = x - y$, $g_o = 0$ and $\lambda_o^0 = 0$, for $\theta = 0.15$.



Figure – Solution u_o^2 at iteration 2, $\theta = 0.15$.



Idea : Split the data f, g into even/odd symmetric parts, which leads to two corresponding subproblems (P_e) and (P_o). Apply the NNM to each one of these subproblems separately.

Convergence analysis for (P_o) .

Numerical illustration with $f_o = x - y$, $g_o = 0$ and $\lambda_o^0 = 0$, for $\theta = 0.15$.



Figure – Correction ψ_o^2 at iteration 2, $\theta = 0.15$.

Convergence analysis for the NNM - Results

Let us fix an initial guess $\lambda^0 \in C^0(\Sigma)$ that is compatible with the Dirichlet boundary condition.

Proposition 1. Taking λ_e^0 as the initial guess for the NNM applied to (P_e) produces a sequence $\{u_e^k\}_k$ that converges geometrically to the solution u_e with respect to the L^2 -norm and the broken H^1 -norm, for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, the convergence factor is given by $|1 - 4\theta|$, which also proves that the NNM becomes a direct solver for the specific choice $\theta = \frac{1}{4}$.

Proposition 2. Taking λ_o^0 as the initial guess for the NNM applied to (P_o) generates a sequence $\{u_o^k\}_k$ such that, for some $k_0 > 1$, the iterates u_o^k are not unique for all $k \ge k_0$. In addition, for each $k \ge k_0$, all possible u_o^k are singular at the cross-point, with a leading singularity of type $(\ln r)^{2(k-k_0)+2}$.

 \rightarrow The method needs to be fixed for odd symmetric problems !

Convergence analysis for the NNM - Results

Let us fix an initial guess $\lambda^0 \in C^0(\Sigma)$ that is compatible with the Dirichlet boundary condition.

Proposition 1. Taking λ_e^0 as the initial guess for the NNM applied to (P_e) produces a sequence $\{u_e^k\}_k$ that converges geometrically to the solution u_e with respect to the L^2 -norm and the broken H^1 -norm, for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, the convergence factor is given by $|1 - 4\theta|$, which also proves that the NNM becomes a direct solver for the specific choice $\theta = \frac{1}{4}$.

Proposition 2. Taking λ_o^0 as the initial guess for the NNM applied to (P_o) generates a sequence $\{u_o^k\}_k$ such that, for some $k_0 > 1$, the iterates u_o^k are not unique for all $k \ge k_0$. In addition, for each $k \ge k_0$, all possible u_o^k are singular at the cross-point, with a leading singularity of type $(\ln r)^{2(k-k_0)+2}$.

 \rightarrow The method needs to be fixed for odd symmetric problems !

Convergence analysis for the NNM - Results

Let us fix an initial guess $\lambda^0 \in C^0(\Sigma)$ that is compatible with the Dirichlet boundary condition.

Proposition 1. Taking λ_e^0 as the initial guess for the NNM applied to (P_e) produces a sequence $\{u_e^k\}_k$ that converges geometrically to the solution u_e with respect to the L^2 -norm and the broken H^1 -norm, for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, the convergence factor is given by $|1 - 4\theta|$, which also proves that the NNM becomes a direct solver for the specific choice $\theta = \frac{1}{4}$.

Proposition 2. Taking λ_o^0 as the initial guess for the NNM applied to (P_o) generates a sequence $\{u_o^k\}_k$ such that, for some $k_0 > 1$, the iterates u_o^k are not unique for all $k \ge k_0$. In addition, for each $k \ge k_0$, all possible u_o^k are singular at the cross-point, with a leading singularity of type $(\ln r)^{2(k-k_0)+2}$.

\longrightarrow The method needs to be fixed for odd symmetric problems !

00 0	00000	••••	00	00

A "mixed" Neumann-Neumann method

Four subdomain version : The domain Ω is split into four squared subdomains of equal area, thus involving one cross-point.



• (Dirichlet step) Solve a Dirichlet problem for u_i in each subdomains Ω_i .

• (Neumann step) Solve a Neumann problem for ψ_i in each subdomain Ω_i .

• (Update λ) Update the trace on each part of Σ .

00 0	00000	••••	00	00

A "mixed" Neumann-Neumann method

Four subdomain version : The domain Ω is split into four squared subdomains of equal area, thus involving one cross-point.



• (Dirichlet step) Solve a Dirichlet problem for u_i in each subdomains Ω_i .

- (Neumann step) Solve a Neumann problem for ψ_i in each subdomain Ω_i .
- (Update λ) Update the trace on each part of Σ .

00 0	00000	••••	00	00

A "mixed" Neumann-Neumann method

Four subdomain version : The domain Ω is split into four squared subdomains of equal area, thus involving one cross-point.



• (Dirichlet step) Solve a Dirichlet problem for u_i in each subdomains Ω_i .

- (Neumann step) Solve a Neumann problem for ψ_i in each subdomain Ω_i .
- (Update λ) Update the trace on each part of Σ.



Since the method has been designed to treat specifically the odd symmetric part of the problem, we focus on convergence analysis for (P_o) .

Convergence analysis for (P_o) .

Find u_o solution to :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_o = f_o \text{ in } \Omega ,\\ u_o = g_o \text{ on } \partial \Omega . \end{cases}$$
(P_o)

 \longrightarrow At each iteration of the NNM, all local problems are well-posed.

 \longrightarrow Local corrections in subdomains Ω_2 , Ω_3 and Ω_4 can be deduced from the local correction in Ω_1 using symmetry arguments.

 \longrightarrow The algorithm converges *geometrically* to the real solution u_o .



Since the method has been designed to treat specifically the odd symmetric part of the problem, we focus on convergence analysis for (P_o) .

Convergence analysis for (P_o) .

Numerical illustration with $f_o = x - y$, $g_o = 0$ and $\lambda_o^0 = 0$, for $\theta = 0.15$.



Figure – Solution u_o^1 at iteration 1, $\theta = 0.15$.



Since the method has been designed to treat specifically the odd symmetric part of the problem, we focus on convergence analysis for (P_o) .

Convergence analysis for (P_o) .

Numerical illustration with $f_o = x - y$, $g_o = 0$ and $\lambda_o^0 = 0$, for $\theta = 0.15$.



Figure – Correction ψ_o^1 at iteration 1, $\theta = 0.15$.



Since the method has been designed to treat specifically the odd symmetric part of the problem, we focus on convergence analysis for (P_o) .

Convergence analysis for (P_o) .

Numerical illustration with $f_o = x - y$, $g_o = 0$ and $\lambda_o^0 = 0$, for $\theta = 0.15$.



Figure – Solution u_o^2 at iteration 2, $\theta = 0.15$.



Since the method has been designed to treat specifically the odd symmetric part of the problem, we focus on convergence analysis for (P_o) .

Convergence analysis for (P_o) .

Numerical illustration with $f_o = x - y$, $g_o = 0$ and $\lambda_o^0 = 0$, for $\theta = 0.15$.



Figure – Correction ψ_o^2 at iteration 2, $\theta = 0.15$.

Convergence analysis for the mixed NNM - Results

Let us fix an initial guess $\lambda^0\in C^0(\Sigma)$ that is compatible with the Dirichlet boundary condition.

Proposition 3. Taking λ_o^0 as the initial guess for the mixed NNM applied to (P_o) produces a sequence $\{u_o^k\}_k$ that converges geometrically to the solution u_o with respect to the L^2 -norm and the broken H^1 -norm, for any $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$. Moreover, the convergence factor is given by $|1 - 4\theta|$, which also proves that the mixed NNM becomes a direct solver for the specific choice $\theta = \frac{1}{4}$.

 \rightarrow We can build a modified NNM that converges geometrically for both parts (even AND odd symmetric)!

Convergence analysis for the mixed NNM - Results

Let us fix an initial guess $\lambda^0\in C^0(\Sigma)$ that is compatible with the Dirichlet boundary condition.

Proposition 3. Taking λ_o^0 as the initial guess for the mixed NNM applied to (P_o) produces a sequence $\{u_o^k\}_k$ that converges geometrically to the solution u_o with respect to the L^2 -norm and the broken H^1 -norm, for any $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$. Moreover, the convergence factor is given by $|1 - 4\theta|$, which also proves that the mixed NNM becomes a direct solver for the specific choice $\theta = \frac{1}{4}$.

 \rightarrow We can build a modified NNM that converges geometrically for both parts (even AND odd symmetric) !

A modified Neumann-Neumann method

Here are the different steps of our modified NNM to solve (*P*) starting from an initial guess $\lambda^0 \in C^0(\Sigma)$ compatible with the Dirichlet boundary condition, and a relaxation parameter $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$.

- Decompose the data into their even/odd symmetric parts in order to get (P_e) and (P_o).
- **2** Solve in parallel :
 - (P_e) using the standard NNM starting from λ_e^0 ,
 - (P_o) using the mixed NNM starting from λ_o^0 .
- **3** Recompose the solution $u = u_e + u_o$.

Remark : It is actually enough to solve for u_e and u_o in $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, and then extend them to the whole domain Ω by symmetry.

Proposition 4. The modified NNM applied to (P) converges geometrically for any $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$. Moreover, it becomes a direct solver when $\theta = \frac{1}{4}$.

A modified Neumann-Neumann method

Here are the different steps of our modified NNM to solve (*P*) starting from an initial guess $\lambda^0 \in C^0(\Sigma)$ compatible with the Dirichlet boundary condition, and a relaxation parameter $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$.

- Decompose the data into their even/odd symmetric parts in order to get (P_e) and (P_o).
- **2** Solve in parallel :
 - (P_e) using the standard NNM starting from λ_e^0 ,
 - (P_o) using the mixed NNM starting from λ_o^0 .

3 Recompose the solution $u = u_e + u_o$.

Remark : It is actually enough to solve for u_e and u_o in $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, and then extend them to the whole domain Ω by symmetry.

Proposition 4. The modified NNM applied to (P) converges geometrically for any $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$. Moreover, it becomes a direct solver when $\theta = \frac{1}{4}$.

A modified Neumann-Neumann method

Here are the different steps of our modified NNM to solve (*P*) starting from an initial guess $\lambda^0 \in C^0(\Sigma)$ compatible with the Dirichlet boundary condition, and a relaxation parameter $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$.

- Decompose the data into their even/odd symmetric parts in order to get (P_e) and (P_o).
- **2** Solve in parallel :
 - (P_e) using the standard NNM starting from λ_e^0 ,
 - (P_o) using the mixed NNM starting from λ_o^0 .

3 Recompose the solution $u = u_e + u_o$.

Remark : It is actually enough to solve for u_e and u_o in $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, and then extend them to the whole domain Ω by symmetry.

Proposition 4. The modified NNM applied to (P) converges geometrically for any $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$. Moreover, it becomes a direct solver when $\theta = \frac{1}{4}$.



Even symmetric example

Data : The domain Ω is discretized using a uniform cartesian grid, with mesh size h = 0.02. The physical data are such that f = 1 in Ω and g = 0 on $\partial \Omega$. The initial guess is set to $\lambda^0 = 0$ on Σ .

The "exact" solution looks like :





Even symmetric example

Data : The domain Ω is discretized using a uniform cartesian grid, with mesh size h = 0.02. The physical data are such that f = 1 in Ω and g = 0 on $\partial \Omega$. The initial guess is set to $\lambda^0 = 0$ on Σ .

We apply the modified NNM with $\theta = 0.25$.



Figure – Absolute error for the modified NNM at iteration 2, $\theta = 0.25$.



Even symmetric example

Data : The domain Ω is discretized using a uniform cartesian grid, with mesh size h = 0.02. The physical data are such that f = 1 in Ω and g = 0 on $\partial \Omega$. The initial guess is set to $\lambda^0 = 0$ on Σ .

We apply the modified NNM with $\theta = 0.23$.



Figure – Norm of the absolute error, $\theta = 0.23$.



Odd symmetric example

Data : The domain Ω is discretized using a uniform cartesian grid, with mesh size h = 0.02. The physical data are such that f = x - y in Ω and g = 0 on $\partial\Omega$. The initial guess is set to $\lambda^0 = 0$ on Σ .

The "exact" solution looks like :





Odd symmetric example

Data : The domain Ω is discretized using a uniform cartesian grid, with mesh size h = 0.02. The physical data are such that f = x - y in Ω and g = 0 on $\partial\Omega$. The initial guess is set to $\lambda^0 = 0$ on Σ .

We apply the modified NNM with $\theta = 0.25$.



Figure – Absolute error for the modified NNM at iteration 2, $\theta = 0.25$.



Odd symmetric example

Data : The domain Ω is discretized using a uniform cartesian grid, with mesh size h = 0.02. The physical data are such that f = x - y in Ω and g = 0 on $\partial\Omega$. The initial guess is set to $\lambda^0 = 0$ on Σ .

We apply the modified NNM with $\theta = 0.23$.



Figure – Norm of the absolute error, $\theta = 0.23$.

Conclusion and outlook

Summary :

- Study of the standard NN method for the Poisson problem in a specific configuration involving one cross-point.
- Introduction of a modified NNM that converges geometrically for any data f, g and initial guess λ^0 , and that becomes a direct solver for $\theta = 1/4$.

Other results (not mentioned here) :

- Similar results for the Dirichlet-Neumann method.
- Extension to the 3D case (cube divided in 4 or 8 subdomains).

Future work :

- Extension to more general cross-points (not necessarily rectilinear, or involving a number of subdomains $N \neq 4$).
- Extension to more than one cross-points (multilevel method).

Conclusion and outlook

Summary :

- Study of the standard NN method for the Poisson problem in a specific configuration involving one cross-point.
- Introduction of a modified NNM that converges geometrically for any data f, g and initial guess λ^0 , and that becomes a direct solver for $\theta = 1/4$.

Other results (not mentioned here) :

- Similar results for the Dirichlet-Neumann method.
- Extension to the 3D case (cube divided in 4 or 8 subdomains).

Future work :

- Extension to more general cross-points (not necessarily rectilinear, or involving a number of subdomains $N \neq 4$).
- Extension to more than one cross-points (multilevel method).

Conclusion and outlook

Summary :

- Study of the standard NN method for the Poisson problem in a specific configuration involving one cross-point.
- Introduction of a modified NNM that converges geometrically for any data f, g and initial guess λ^0 , and that becomes a direct solver for $\theta = 1/4$.

Other results (not mentioned here) :

- Similar results for the Dirichlet-Neumann method.
- Extension to the 3D case (cube divided in 4 or 8 subdomains).

Future work :

- Extension to more general cross-points (not necessarily rectilinear, or involving a number of subdomains N ≠ 4).
- Extension to more than one cross-points (multilevel method).

		A modified NN method		
00 00	00000	0000	00	00

Thank you for your attention !