Homogenization of stiff inclusions through network approximation

David Gérard-Varet, Alexandre Girodroux-Lavigne

IMJ-PRG - Université de Paris

CANUM 2020



Alexandre Girodroux-Lavigne



- framework :study of electric potential in an homogeneous medium with random inclusions F_{ε} of infinite conductivity and small size ε
- a criterion ensuring homogenization was already given by Zhikov



- framework :study of electric potential in an homogeneous medium with random inclusions F_{ε} of infinite conductivity and small size ε
- a criterion ensuring homogenization was already given by Zhikov

Goal : relax this criterion using idea from network approximation.

 \implies inspired by the work on network approximation of Leonid Berlyand [Berlyand and Kolpakov, 2001].



Microscopic problem

- *F*(ω) = ∪_{*I*∈CC(*F*)}*I*(ω) ⊂ ℝ³ is the random set of inclusions, CC= Connected Components
- domain $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$
- $I_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon I$ and $F_{\varepsilon} = \cup_{I \in \mathrm{CC}(F)} I_{\varepsilon}(\omega)$ such that " $\varepsilon I \subset U$ "

Consider electric potentials $(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0} \in H_0^1(U)$ such that

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta u_{\varepsilon} = f \quad \text{in} \quad U \setminus F_{\varepsilon}, \text{ conductivity} = 1 \\
\nabla u_{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad F_{\varepsilon} \\
\int_{\partial I_{\varepsilon}} \partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon} = 0, \quad \forall I_{\varepsilon} \in \text{CC}(F_{\varepsilon}) \\
u_{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial U
\end{cases}$$

$$(E_{\varepsilon})$$

where

• u_{ε} equals a constant C_{I} in each inclusion, determined by the zero-flux condition

3/16

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Microscopic problem

- *F*(ω) = ∪_{*I*∈CC(*F*)}*I*(ω) ⊂ ℝ³ is the random set of inclusions, CC= Connected Components
- domain $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$
- $I_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon I$ and $F_{\varepsilon} = \cup_{I \in \mathrm{CC}(F)} I_{\varepsilon}(\omega)$ such that " $\varepsilon I \subset U$ "

Consider electric potentials $(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0} \in H_0^1(U)$ such that

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta u_{\varepsilon} = f \quad \text{in} \quad U \setminus F_{\varepsilon}, \text{ conductivity} = 1 \\
\nabla u_{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad F_{\varepsilon} \\
\int_{\partial I_{\varepsilon}} \partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon} = 0, \quad \forall I_{\varepsilon} \in \text{CC}(F_{\varepsilon}) \\
u_{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial U
\end{cases}$$

$$(E_{\varepsilon})$$

where

• u_{ε} equals a constant C_{I} in each inclusion, determined by the zero-flux condition

 \implies The main goal is to pass to the limit when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (homogenization result)



< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Naive heuristic difficulty - two inclusions set-up



the conductivity u_{ε} must pass quickly from u_I to u_J \implies explosion of $\int |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2$ in the contact zone $\approx |\ln d| |u_I - u_J|^2$.



Alexandre Girodroux-Lavigne

Naive heuristic difficulty - two inclusions set-up



the conductivity u_{ε} must pass quickly from u_I to u_J \implies explosion of $\int |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2$ in the contact zone $\approx |\ln d| |u_I - u_J|^2$.

clusters/dense settings may prevent homogenization (the energy might blows up, cf work of Berlyand. L).

Existing results

Well-separated inclusions \implies homogenization holds





Existing results

Well-separated inclusions \implies homogenization holds



Theorem (Zhikov, [Jikov et al., 1994])

Assume that all the inclusions are balls of unit radius, and that, almost surely

$$\limsup_{N \to +\infty} \frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{I \subset Q_N} \mu_I < +\infty, \quad \text{where} \quad \mu_I := |\ln d(I, F \setminus I)|, \ Q_N = [-N/2, N/2]^3 \qquad (\mathsf{Z}$$

< 4[™] >

Well-separated inclusions \implies homogenization holds



Theorem (Zhikov, [Jikov et al., 1994])

Assume that all the inclusions are balls of unit radius, and that, almost surely

$$\lim_{N \to +\infty} \sup_{I \subset Q_N} \frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{I \subset Q_N} \mu_I < +\infty, \quad \text{where} \quad \mu_I := |\ln d(I, F \setminus I)|, \ Q_N = [-N/2, N/2]^3$$
(Z)

Then $(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}$ converges weakly in $H_0^1(U)$ to u_0 solution of the effective problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} A_0 \nabla u_0 = (1 - \lambda) f \quad \text{in} \quad U \\ u_0 = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial U \end{cases}$$
(E₀)

where A_0 is the effective conductivity matrix and λ is the density of the inclusions $(\lambda = \mathbb{E}[1_F])$.

イロト イヨト イヨト

The effective conductivity matrix is constant and defined for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$A_0\xi \cdot \xi := \mathbb{E} f_{Q_1} |\xi + \nabla \phi_{\xi}|^2$$

where $\phi_{\xi}(\omega, x)$ is a random *corrector* which satisfies for almost all ω

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta \phi_{\xi} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus F, \\
\nabla \phi_{\xi} = -\xi \quad \text{in} \quad F, \\
\int_{\partial I} \partial_{\nu} \phi_{\xi} = 0, \quad \forall I \in CC(F).
\end{cases}$$
(E_C)

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

MIPRG

The effective conductivity matrix is constant and defined for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$A_0\xi \cdot \xi := \mathbb{E} f_{Q_1} |\xi + \nabla \phi_{\xi}|^2$$

where $\phi_{\xi}(\omega, x)$ is a random *corrector* which satisfies for almost all ω

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \phi_{\xi} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus F, \\ \nabla \phi_{\xi} = -\xi \quad \text{in} \quad F, \\ \int_{\partial I} \partial_{\nu} \phi_{\xi} = 0, \quad \forall I \in \mathrm{CC}(F). \end{cases}$$
(E_C)

 \implies classic difficulty of stochastic homogenization :

it is unclear that this problem is well-posed !

Effective conductivity

The effective conductivity matrix is constant and defined for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$A_0\xi \cdot \xi := \mathbb{E} f_{Q_1} |\xi + \nabla \phi_{\xi}|^2 = \lim_{N \to +\infty} f_{Q_N} |\xi + \nabla \phi_{\xi}|^2 \ \omega - \text{a.s}$$

where $\phi_{\xi}(\omega, x)$ is a random *corrector* which satisfies for almost all ω

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \phi_{\xi} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^{3} \setminus F, \\ \nabla \phi_{\xi} = -\xi \quad \text{in} \quad F, \\ \int_{\partial I} \partial_{\nu} \phi_{\xi} = 0, \quad \forall I \in \mathrm{CC}(F). \end{cases}$$
(E_C)

 \implies classic difficulty of stochastic homogenization :

it is unclear that this problem is well-posed !

Geometric Assumptions

We restrict to a specific class of inclusions

• F is a random, stationary and ergodic closed set

Geometric Assumptions

We restrict to a specific class of inclusions

• F is a random, stationary and ergodic closed set

The inclusions are regular and the gaps are well-separated



Figure – Geometry of the inclusions with a close-up on a gap.

• no constraint so far on the inclusion's diameter!



Multigraph of the inclusions

Definition

The δ -multigraph of inclusions associated to F is the unoriented multigraph Gr(F) with

- \bullet the vertices of ${\it Gr}({\it F})$ are the inclusions of ${\it F}$
- there is an edge between I and J for each $\delta\text{-close gaps}$
- the corresponding edge e has a weight $\mu_e = |\ln |x_{I,\alpha} x_{J,\beta}||$





Multigraph of the inclusions

Definition

The δ -multigraph of inclusions associated to F is the unoriented multigraph Gr(F) with

- the vertices of Gr(F) are the inclusions of F
- there is an edge between I and J for each $\delta\text{-close gaps}$
- the corresponding edge e has a weight $\mu_e = |\ln |x_{I,\alpha} x_{J,\beta}||$



• cluster : the union of all the inclusions that are the nodes of a same connected component of Gr(F)



Goal : using the multigraph of inclusions, relax the Zhikov assumption.

Discrete energy : Let $F_N := \bigcup_{I \in CC(F), I \subset Q_N} I$ and

- a family $\{u_I\}$ indexed by the vertices I
- a family $\{b_{IJe}\}$ indexed by vertices I, J and an edge e linking I to J



Goal : using the multigraph of inclusions, relax the Zhikov assumption.

Discrete energy : Let $F_N := \bigcup_{I \in CC(F), I \subset Q_N} I$ and

- a family $\{u_I\}$ indexed by the vertices I
- a family $\{b_{IJe}\}$ indexed by vertices I, J and an edge e linking I to J

$$\mathcal{E}\Big(F_N, \{u_I\}, \{b_{IJe}\}\Big) := \sum_{I,J,e} \mu_e |b_{IJe} - b_{JIe} + u_I - u_J|^2 + \sum_I |I| |u_I|^2$$



If $b_{IJe} = \xi \cdot x_I$, this energy is an upper bound for the energy $||u||_{H^1(Q_N)}$ where u that is harmonic outside F_N , and satisfies $u = u_I + \xi \cdot x_I$ on each $I \in CC(F_N)$ \implies similar to ϕ_{ξ} !

10/16

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Effective conductivity - corrector result

Let $x_I = \int_I x$ and I_0 the inclusion that contains 0 (with $I_0 = \emptyset$ if $0 \notin F$).

Theorem ([Gérard-Varet and Girodroux-Lavigne, 2021])

If for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$, a.s,

$$\limsup_{N \to +\infty} \inf_{\{u_I\}} \frac{1}{|Q_N|} \mathcal{E}\Big(F_N, \{u_I\}, \{b_{IJe} = \xi \cdot x_I\}\Big) < +\infty, \quad (\mathsf{H1})$$

and $\mathbb{E} (\operatorname{diam} I_0)^2 < +\infty$, then the corrector problem has a solution ϕ_{ξ} with good properties and the conductivity matrix A_0 is well-defined.



11/16

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Effective conductivity - corrector result

Let $x_I = \int_I x$ and I_0 the inclusion that contains 0 (with $I_0 = \emptyset$ if $0 \notin F$).

Theorem ([Gérard-Varet and Girodroux-Lavigne, 2021])

If for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$, a.s,

Alexandre Girodroux-Lavigne

$$\limsup_{N \to +\infty} \inf_{\{u_I\}} \frac{1}{|Q_N|} \mathcal{E}\Big(F_N, \{u_I\}, \{b_{IJe} = \xi \cdot x_I\}\Big) < +\infty, \quad (\mathsf{H1})$$

and $\mathbb{E} (\operatorname{diam} I_0)^2 < +\infty$, then the corrector problem has a solution ϕ_{ξ} with good properties and the conductivity matrix A_0 is well-defined.

- (H1) appears as a natural condition to get a uniform bound on the mean energy of the corrector over Q_N
- (Z) condition implies that $\limsup_{N \to +\infty} \frac{1}{|Q_N|} \mathcal{E}\Big(F_N, \{0\}, \{\xi \cdot x_I\}\Big) < +\infty$
- minimizing $\inf_{\{u_I\}} \mathcal{E}(F_N, \{u_I\}, \{\xi \cdot x_I\}) \implies$ solving the weighted laplacian problem on the graph $Gr(F_N) : (\Delta_{F_N} + I_d)\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{B}_{\xi}$



(日)

Let C_0 the cluster that contains 0 ($C_0 = \emptyset$ if $0 \notin F$). We can derive a convenient assumption on the inclusions

Corollary

If $\mathbb{E} (\operatorname{diam} \mathcal{C}_0)^2 < +\infty$ then (H1) is verified.

Proof. Take $u_I := -\xi \cdot (x_I - x_C)$. With this choice



Let C_0 the cluster that contains 0 ($C_0 = \emptyset$ if $0 \notin F$). We can derive a convenient assumption on the inclusions

Corollary

If $\mathbb{E} (diam C_0)^2 < +\infty$ then (H1) is verified.

Proof. Take $u_I := -\xi \cdot (x_I - x_C)$. With this choice

$$\mathcal{E}(F_N, \{u_I\}, \{\xi \cdot x_I\}) = \sum_{I \in \mathrm{CC}(F_N)} |I| |u_I|^2 \lesssim \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{C} \\ \text{cluster of } F_N}} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}} |I| |x_I - x_{\mathcal{C}}|^2$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{\mathcal{C}} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}} \int_I \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{C})^2 \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{\mathcal{C}} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}} \int_I \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{C}_x)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \lesssim \int_{Q_N} \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{C}_x)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

M J-PRG

Let C_0 the cluster that contains 0 ($C_0 = \emptyset$ if $0 \notin F$). We can derive a convenient assumption on the inclusions

Corollary

If $\mathbb{E} (diam C_0)^2 < +\infty$ then (H1) is verified.

Proof. Take $u_I := -\xi \cdot (x_I - x_C)$. With this choice

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}(F_N, \{u_I\}, \{\xi \cdot x_I\}) &= \sum_{I \in \mathrm{CC}(F_N)} |I| |u_I|^2 \lesssim \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{C} \\ \text{cluster of } F_N}} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}} |I| |x_I - x_{\mathcal{C}}|^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\mathcal{C}} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}} \int_I \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{C})^2 \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{\mathcal{C}} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}} \int_I \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{C}_x)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \lesssim \int_{Q_N} \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{C}_x)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \\ \Rightarrow \text{ by ergodic theorem : } \limsup_{N \to +\infty} \inf_{\{u_I\}} \frac{1}{|Q_N|} \mathcal{E}\Big(F_N, \{u_I\}, \xi \cdot x_I\}\Big) \lesssim \mathbb{E} \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{C}_0)^2 \text{ a.s} \end{split}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

M J-PRG

Let C_0 the cluster that contains 0 ($C_0 = \emptyset$ if $0 \notin F$). We can derive a convenient assumption on the inclusions

Corollary

If $\mathbb{E} (diam C_0)^2 < +\infty$ then (H1) is verified.

Proof. Take $u_I := -\xi \cdot (x_I - x_C)$. With this choice

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}(F_N, \{u_I\}, \{\xi \cdot x_I\}) &= \sum_{I \in \mathrm{CC}(F_N)} |I| |u_I|^2 \lesssim \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{C} \\ \text{cluster of } F_N}} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}} |I| |x_I - x_{\mathcal{C}}|^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\mathcal{C}} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}} \int_I \text{diam}(\mathcal{C})^2 \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{\mathcal{C}} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}} \int_I \text{diam}(\mathcal{C}_x)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \lesssim \int_{Q_N} \text{diam}(\mathcal{C}_x)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \\ \Rightarrow \text{ by ergodic theorem : } \limsup_{N \to +\infty} \inf_{\{u_I\}} \frac{1}{|Q_N|} \mathcal{E}\Big(F_N, \{u_I\}, \xi \cdot x_I\}\Big) \lesssim \mathbb{E} \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{C}_0)^2 \text{ a.s} \end{split}$$

Eventually, any F such that the $\mathbb{P}(0 \in \text{cluster of diameter } N) \leq 1/N^{3+\alpha}$ is admissible.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

MIPRG

Let C_0 the cluster that contains 0 ($C_0 = \emptyset$ if $0 \notin F$). We can derive a convenient assumption on the inclusions

Corollary

If $\mathbb{E} (diam C_0)^2 < +\infty$ then (H1) is verified.

Proof. Take $u_I := -\xi \cdot (x_I - x_C)$. With this choice

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}(F_N, \{u_I\}, \{\xi \cdot x_I\}) &= \sum_{I \in \mathrm{CC}(F_N)} |I| |u_I|^2 \lesssim \sum_{\substack{C \\ \text{cluster of } F_N}} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}} |I| |x_I - x_C|^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\mathcal{C}} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}} \int_I \text{diam}(\mathcal{C})^2 \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{\mathcal{C}} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}} \int_I \text{diam}(\mathcal{C}_x)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \lesssim \int_{Q_N} \text{diam}(\mathcal{C}_x)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \\ \Rightarrow \text{ by ergodic theorem : } \limsup_{N \to +\infty} \inf_{\{u_I\}} \frac{1}{|Q_N|} \mathcal{E}\Big(F_N, \{u_I\}, \xi \cdot x_I\}\Big) \lesssim \mathbb{E} \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{C}_0)^2 \text{ a.s} \end{split}$$

Eventually, any F such that the $\mathbb{P}(0 \in \text{cluster of diameter } N) \leq 1/N^{3+\alpha}$ is admissible. Similar results were established recently in [Duerinckx and Gloria, 2021] for the Stokes problem.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Theorem ([Gérard-Varet and Girodroux-Lavigne, 2021])

We assume that there exists $s \in (2, +\infty)$, such that F satisfies almost surely

$$\limsup_{N \to +\infty} \frac{1}{|Q_N|} \sup_{||b_{IJe}||_s = 1} \inf_{\{u_I\}} \mathcal{E}\Big(F_N, \{u_I\}, \{b_{IJe}\}\Big) < +\infty, \tag{H2}$$

where $||b_{IJe}||_s^{s/2} = \frac{1}{|Q_N|} \sum_{I,J,e} |b_{IJe}|^s$, then there exists p(s) such that if $\mathbb{E}(\text{diam } I_0)^p < +\infty$, then homogenization holds.

(4) (日本)

Theorem ([Gérard-Varet and Girodroux-Lavigne, 2021])

We assume that there exists $s \in (2, +\infty)$, such that F satisfies almost surely

$$\limsup_{N \to +\infty} \frac{1}{|Q_N|} \sup_{||b_{IJe}||_s = 1} \inf_{\{u_I\}} \mathcal{E}\Big(F_N, \{u_I\}, \{b_{IJe}\}\Big) < +\infty, \tag{H2}$$

where $||b_{IJe}||_s^{s/2} = \frac{1}{|Q_N|} \sum_{I,J,e} |b_{IJe}|^s$, then there exists p(s) such that if $\mathbb{E}(\text{diam } I_0)^p < +\infty$, then homogenization holds.

- (H2) \implies (H1)
- (H2) is implied by a slightly improved Zhikov condition
- Proof : modified oscillatory test function method

13/16

イロト イヨト イヨト

Corollary

Assume that Gr(F) is cycle-free, $\sup_{I} I < +\infty$ and that $\mathbb{E} \sharp C_0^p < +\infty$ with p > 2, then, F satisfies (H2) with exponent $s = \frac{2p}{p-2} \in (2, +\infty)$.



Références



Berlyand, L. and Kolpakov, A. (2001).

Network approximation in the limit of small interparticle distance of the effective properties of a high-contrast random dispersed composite.

Archive for rational mechanics and analysis, 159(3):179-227.



Duerinckx, M. and Gloria, A. (2021).

Continuum percolation in stochastic homogenization and the effective viscosity problem.

arXiv preprint arXiv :2108.09654.



Gérard-Varet, D. and Girodroux-Lavigne, A. (2021).

Homogenization of stiff inclusions through network approximation.

arXiv preprint arXiv :2106.06299.

Jikov, V. V., Kozlov, S. M., and Oleinik, O. A. (1994). Homogenization of differential operators and integral functionals. Springer Science & Business Media.



< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

Thank you for listening !



Theorem ([Gérard-Varet and Girodroux-Lavigne, 2021])

If almost surely,

$$\limsup_{N \to +\infty} \inf_{\{u_I\}} \frac{1}{|Q_N|} \mathcal{E}\Big(F_N, \{u_I\}, \{b_{IJe} = \xi \cdot x_I\}\Big) < +\infty$$
(H1)

where $F_N := \bigcup_{I \in CC(F), I \subset Q_N} I$, and if \mathbb{E} diam $(I_0)^2 < +\infty$, then there exists a scalar field $\phi_{\xi}(\omega, x) \in L^2(\Omega, H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with stationary gradient s.t

- i) $\nabla \phi_{\xi}(\omega, \cdot)$ satisfies (E_C) a.s
- ii) ϕ is sub-linear, in the sense that $\varepsilon \phi(\cdot/\varepsilon) \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \to 0]{} 0$ in $L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any s < 6
- iii) $\mathbb{E}\int_{Q_1} \nabla \phi_{\xi} = 0$, $\mathbb{E}\int_{Q_1} |\nabla \phi_{\xi}|^2 < +\infty$, $\int_{Q_1} \phi_{\xi} = 0$
- iv) up to a constant, ϕ_{ξ} is the unique minimizer of the variational problem

$$\inf\left\{\mathbb{E}\int_{Q_1}|\nabla\phi+\xi|^2,\ \phi\in L^2(\Omega,H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)),\ \nabla\phi\ \text{ stationary, }\ \nabla\phi+\xi|_F=0,\ \mathbb{E}\nabla\phi=0\right\}$$

16/16

イロト イヨト イヨト

Sketch of the homogenization proof

• Step 1 : thanks to (H2), we prove the following lemma of extension outside the inclusion , using local surgery on the gaps

Lemma

Almost surely, there exists C > 0 independent of ε such that for all $\varphi^{\varepsilon} \in W^{1,s}(F_{\varepsilon})$, one can find a field $\phi^{\varepsilon} \in H^1_0(U)$ such that

 $\nabla \phi^{\varepsilon} = \nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon} \quad \text{ in } \ F_{\varepsilon}, \quad \| \nabla \phi^{\varepsilon} \|_{L^{2}(U)} \leq C \| \nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon} \|_{L^{s}(F_{\varepsilon})}, \ 2 \leq s < +\infty$

- step 2 : by a duality argument, we obtain an extension theorem for divergence-free vector outside the inclusions , that we use to extend ∇u_{ε} .
- step 3 : we introduce for $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(U)$ the oscillatory test function $\varphi^{\varepsilon}(x) := \varphi(x) + \varepsilon \sum_i \phi_{e_i}(x/\varepsilon) \partial_i \varphi(x)$ and we want to use it as a test function in (E_{ε})
- step 4 : since $\nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \sum_{i} \nabla \partial_{i} \varphi(x) \phi_{e_{i}}(x/\varepsilon) \neq 0$ in F_{ε} , we correct it using the lemma
- step 5 : passing to the limit using ergodic's theorem, div/curl lemma and sublinearity of the corrector.

Lassa de Materia de Series Lassa de Materia de Series Jacober Terres Terres Terres

Alexandre Girodroux-Lavigne